



Original article

Placental traits and their relation with birth weight in Meriz and Black goats

J.E. Alkass, K.Y. Merkhan*, R.A.H. Hamo

Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

Corresponding author; Animal Production Dept., Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq; Mobile phone: 009647504741916.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

| <i>Article history:</i> Received 15 June 2013 Accepted 22 June 2013 Available online 29 June 2013 | At a commercial farm of goat, Duhok province, Kurdistan Region, Iraq, the relationship between placental traits and birth weight of kids together with some factors affecting these traits were investigated in this study. A total of 79 foetal placental delivered at |
|--|---|
| <i>Keywords:</i> Birth weight Placental traits Meriz and black goats | normal kidding were collected from Black goat (n=33) and Meriz (n=46). The results revealed that neither breed of goat nor sex had a significant effect on all placental traits. A positive correlation between birth weight (BW) of kids and each of placental efficiency (PE) (r =0.38; P ≤0.01), placental weight (PW) (r = 0.01, P ≥0.05), cotyledon weight (CW) (r = 0.08, P ≥0.05) was found, and a non significant negative correlations was noticed between BW and each of cotyledon number (CN) (r = -0.05, P ≥0.05), and cotyledon density (CD) (r = -0.12, P ≥0.05). PW is positively correlated with the CW (r = 0.73, P ≤0.01) and CN (r = 0.41, P ≤0.01) and negatively correlated with PE (r = 0.80, P ≤0.01) and CD (r = -0.46, P ≤0.01). Results showed a significant positive correlation between placental efficiency and each of birth weight of kids and cotyledon density. |
| | © 2013 Sigurnals All rights reserved |

2013 Sjournals. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In ruminants, including goat, neonatal mortality has an important influence on the productivity of the farm and indicator for sustainability of the flock. Hence placental growth and development of its functional ability are important because they are the means by which the fetus received metabolic substances for growth (Ocak and Onder, 2011), therefore, the survival of a newborn is affected by sufficiency of placenta (Mellor and Stafford, 2004).

In goat and sheep, a positive correlation was found between birth weight and the weight of cotyledons (Alexander, 1964; Alkass et al., 1999; Osgerby et al., 2003; Madibela, 2004; Oramari et al., 2011). Also, it has been reported that the number of cotyledons per fetus varies between and within breed, litter size, sex and environmental conditions (Alexander, 1964).

Meriz goat is found along the northern boarder of Kurdistan region of Iraq. It is white, red or brown and some are mixture of these colors. This breed is raised primarily for its fine hair. The Black goat is distributed all over the country. Its color varies with a dominance of grey or black. This goat is of medium size and suited to grazing over vast areas and raised mainly for meat and milk production (Alkass and Juma, 2005). Since no studies have been carried out on birth weight and placental traits of Meriz goats in particular, therefore, the aim of the current investigation was to evaluate some placental factors as affected by some maternal traits as well as its relationships with the newborn birth weight of Meriz and Black goat kids.

2. Materials and methods

A total of seventy nine fetal placenta delivered at normal kidding were collected from Black goat (n=33) and Meriz (n=46) maintained at a commercial farm during kidding season 2013. Immediately after parturition, placentas were collected and weighed with the aid of digital scales. The cotyledons were separated from the placenta, counted (CN) and weighed (CW). Placental efficiency (PE) was expressed as the rate of total kid birth weight (g) to placental weight (PW) (g) (Molteni et al., 1978). Cotyledon density (CD) was defined as the number of cotyledons per gram placental weight (Ocak and Onder, 2011). The weights of kids (BW) and their dams were recorded by digital balance within 24 hours post kidding. The data were statistically analyzed by General Linear Model using SAS (2005) according to the following module:

Yijkl = μ + Bi +Aj +Sk + b (xl-x) + eijkl Where: Yijkl= Measurements on mth observation; μ = Overall mean; Bi = Effect of ith Breed (i = Black goat, Meriz); Aj = Effect of jth Parity (j = 1, 2, 3, 4 and more); Sk= Effect of kth Sex of birth (k = Male, Female); b (xl-x)= the regression coefficient of studied traits on dam weight at kidding. eijkl =Experimental error assumed to be NID with (0, $\sigma 2 e$).

Also, Duncan multiple test was used to detect differences among least square means within each factors (Duncan, 1955). Correlation Coefficients among all studied traits were calculated.

3. Results and discussion

The present investigation was aimed at characterizing relationship between placental traits in two goat breeds. In the present study, results revealed that the effect of breed was not a significant source of variation in all studied placental traits. Similar finding was noticed by Ocak et al. (2009) on different breeds and/or genotypes of sheep. Also, Ocak and Onder (2011) indicated that no significant differences exist among Saanen, German Fawn and Damascus in PW, PE and CW. However, Black goat kids surpassed significantly (P \leq 0.05) Meriz kids in birth weight (3.00 vs. 2.66 kg). Such result is in accordance with those reported earlier by Ocak and Onder (2011) on different genotypes of goat.

Placental traits were not affected significantly by fetal sex, and this result was in agreement with previous studies in sheep (Rhind et al., 1980; Al-Rawi et al., 2002; Ocak et al., 2009; Oramari et al., 2011), beef cattle (Echterncamp, 1993). However, the CW, CN and PW was higher ($P \ge 0.05$) in case of males as compared to females were the corresponding birth weight of kids (2.90, vs. 2.71 kg) (Table 1). The explanation of the sex differences as

suggested by Alexander (1964) could be due to differences in the vascularity of the placenta or in the placental transfer mechanism.

| and Meriz goats. | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Trait | No. | Birth Wt (kg) | Placental Wt (gm) | Placental efficiency |
| Overall mean | 79 | 2.80 ±0.04 | 259.53 ±8.30 | 12.13 ± 0.52 |
| Breed | | * | NS | NS |
| Black goat | 33 | 3.004 ±0.05 ^a | 260.16 ±11.56 ^a | $12.94 \pm 0.85^{\circ}$ |
| Meriz | 46 | 2.66 ±0.06 ^b | 259.13 ± 11.55 [°] | 11.56 ± 0.66^{a} |
| Parity | | NS | NS | NS |
| 1 | 16 | 2.75 ±0.11 ^ª | 236.0 ±18.86 ^a | 12.29 ± 1.24^{a} |
| 2 | 25 | 2.91 ±0.10 ^ª | 266.66 ±12.18 ^a | 12.15 ± 0.94^{a} |
| 3 | 13 | 2.65 ±0.06 ^ª | 263.07 ±25.97 ^a | 12.31 ± 1.46^{a} |
| 4 and more | 25 | 2.81 ± 0.06^{a} | 265.20 ±14.77 ^a | 11.93 ± 0.90^{a} |
| Sex of birth | | * | NS | NS |
| Male | 37 | 2.90 ± 0.06^{a} | 273.71 ± 12.86 ^a | 11.68 ± 0.72^{a} |
| Female | 42 | 2.71 ±0.06 ^b | 247.43 ±10.56 ^a | $12.53 \pm 0.76^{\circ}$ |
| Regression on | | | | |
| Dam weight at kiddin | g | 0.022 ± 0.01* | 2.31 ±0.8 ^{NS} | -0.01 ±0.10 ^{NS} |

Table 1

Least square means±SE for the factors affecting birth weight, placental weight and efficiency of Black and Meriz goats.

Means with different letters within each column within each factor are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 2

Least-square means ± standard errors of cotyledon weight, number and density as affected by the examined factors.

| Trait | No. | Cotyledon Wt (gm) | Cotyledon No. | Cotyledon density | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Overall mean | 79 | 92.92 ±3.72 | 103.18 ±3.0 | 0.40 ± 0.01 | |
| Breed | | NS | NS | NS NS | |
| Black goat | 33 | $93.29 \pm 5.99^{\circ}$ | $103.50 \pm 4.61^{\circ}$ $0.39 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ | | |
| Meriz | 46 | 92.67 ±4.81 ^a | 102.97 ±3.98 ^a | 0.40 ± 0.01^{a} | |
| Parity | | NS | * | * | |
| 1 | 16 | 81.36 ± 8.58^{a} | 104.75 ±6.58 ^{ab} | 0.45 ± 0.04^{a} | |
| 2 | 25 | 93.09 ±5.73 ^ª | 114.50 ± 4.39^{a} | 0.45 ±0.02 ^a | |
| 3 | 13 | 104.08 ±10.02 ^a | 95.50 ±6.54 ^b | 0.35 ± 0.02^{b} | |
| 4 and more | 25 | 94.42 ± 6.87^{a} | 94.30 ±5.90 ^b | 0.34 ± 0.01^{b} | |
| Sex of birth | | NS | NS | NS | |
| Male | 37 | 97.45 ±5.25 [°] | 107.42 ±4.55 ^ª | 0.38 ± 0.01^{a} | |
| Female | 42 | 88.94 ±5.25 [°] | 99.47 $\pm 3.93^{\circ}$ 0.41 $\pm 0.76^{\circ}$ | | |
| Regression on | | | | | |
| Dam weight at kidding | | 0.86 ±0.81 ^{NS} | -1.45 ±0.64* | -0.01 ± 0.003** | |
| Black goat Meriz Parity 1 2 3 4 and more Sex of birth Male Female Regression on Dam weight at kidding | 33 46 16 25 13 25 37 42 | 93.29 \pm 5.99° 92.67 \pm 4.81° NS 81.36 \pm 8.58° 93.09 \pm 5.73° 104.08 \pm 10.02° 94.42 \pm 6.87° NS 97.45 \pm 5.25° 88.94 \pm 5.25° 0.86 \pm 0.81 ^{NS} | $103.50 \pm 4.61^{\circ}$ $102.97 \pm 3.98^{\circ}$ * $104.75 \pm 6.58^{\circ}$ $114.50 \pm 4.39^{\circ}$ 95.50 \pm 6.54^{\overline} 94.30 \pm 5.90^{\overline} NS 107.42 \pm 4.55^{\overline} 99.47 \pm 3.93^{\overline} -1.45 \pm 0.64^{*} | $\begin{array}{c} 0.39 \pm 0.02^{\circ} \\ 0.40 \pm 0.01^{a} \\ * \\ 0.45 \pm 0.04^{a} \\ 0.45 \pm 0.02^{a} \\ 0.35 \pm 0.02^{b} \\ 0.34 \pm 0.01^{b} \\ \text{NS} \\ 0.38 \pm 0.01^{a} \\ 0.41 \pm 0.76^{a} \\ \hline -0.01 \pm 0.003^{**} \end{array}$ | |

Means with different letters within each column within each factor are significantly different (P<0.05).

In the present work, results indicated that parity had a significant ($P \le 0.05$) effect on CN and CD only (Table 2). Second parity does have greater number of cotyledons than did the third and higher parity does. Also, increasing maternal parity is associated with decreasing CD. Moreover, PE did not change with parity of doe in the current study. Such results are consistent with the finding of Konyali et al. (2007). On the other hand, elevated placenta efficiency in beef cattle and sheep was found with an increase in parity (Echternkamp, 1993; Dwyer et al., 2005). Such discrepancy in result is difficult to explain, but it can be clarified with histological studies at this point.

The regression of birth weight of kids was positive on dam weight at kidding (0.022 ± 0.01 , P ≤0.05) and each of CN and CD were negatively correlated on dam weight at kidding (- 1.45 ± 0.64 , P ≤0.05) and (- 0.01 ± 0.003 , P ≤0.01),

respectively. Also, investigators claimed that the weight of dam at kidding was significantly correlated with birth weight of their kids (Jawasreh, 2003).

Correlation coefficients of placental traits are given in Table (3). A positive correlation between BW and PE (r=0.38; P \leq 0.01) was found. Also, a non significant positive correlation was observed between BW and each of PW, and CW, and a non significant negative correlation was noticed between BW and each of CN and CD. The relationship between BW and PE is in agreement with previous studies in different breeds and/or genotypes of sheep (Ocak et at., 2009). It has been previously demonstrated that in appropriate maternal nutrition at key stages of pregnancy is one of the measureable factors leading to decreased live weight (Wallace et al., 1999). It was indicated that the nutrition of dam and the size of placenta are well known to determine the fetal growth rate (Mellor, 1980). Knight et al. (1988), konyali et al. (2007), Jawasreh et al., (2009), Alkass et al. (1999) and Oramari et al. (2011) showed that birth weight was strongly associated with placental traits such as placental weight.

| Table 3 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| Correlation coefficients among birth weight and placental traits. | | | | | | | | |
| Traits | BW | PW | CW | CN | PE | | | |
| PW | 0.01 ^{NS} | | | | | | | |
| CW | 0.08 ^{NS} | 0.73** | | | | | | |
| CN | -0.05 ^{NS} | 0.41** | 0.37** | | | | | |
| PE | 0.38** | -0.80** | -0.52** | -0.45** | | | | |
| CD | -0.12 ^{NS} | -0.46** | -0.39** | 0.49** | 0.29** | | | |

*Significant at ($P \le 0.05$), **Significant at ($P \le 0.01$), NS; non significant.

Placental weight is positively correlated with CW (r=0.73, P \leq 0.01), CN (r=0.41, P \leq 0.01) and negatively correlated with PE (r = -0.80, P \leq 0.01), and CD (r = - 0.46, P \leq 0.01), which is in agreement with konyali et al. (2007), Ocak et al. (2009), and Ocak and Onder (2011). The results of the current work revealed that large placentas are less efficient than small placentas, and large placentas probably require more nutrients (Dwyer et al., 2005). Cotyledon weight was positively correlated with CN (r = 0.37, P \leq 0.01) and negatively correlated with PE (r = -0.52, P \leq 0.01) and CD (r = -0.39, P \leq 0.01). Also, CN was negatively correlated with PE (r = -0.45, P \leq 0.01) and positively correlated with CD (r = 0.49, P \leq 0.01). These results were in accordance with those reported earlier by Ocak and Onder (2011). Cotyledon density was positively correlated with PE (r = 0.29, P \leq 0.01) which is in agreement with the findings of Konyali et al. (2007). Similarly, Ocak et al. (2009) showed a positive correlation between placental efficiency and cotyledon density among all genotypes and breeds used in their study.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, results of the current work showed a significant positive correlation between placental efficiency and each of birth weight of kids and cotyledon density. Further works are needed to investigate the relationship between neonatal behavior of kids with placental efficiency.

References

Alexande, G., 1964. Studies on the placenta of sheep (Ovis aries L.). Placental size. J. Reprod. Fert. 7, 289-305.

- Alkass, J.E., Al-Azzawi, W.A.R., Asofi, M.K., Elia, J.V., 1999. Number and weight of cotyledons and there relevance to variation in birth weight of kids. Iraqi j. Agr. Sci. 30(2), 641-646.
- Alkass, J.E., and Juma, K.H., 2005. Small Ruminant Breeds of Iraq. In: Characterization of Small Ruminant Breeds in West Asia and North Africa (Ed. Luis Iniqueze). Vol. I. West Asia. International Center for Agriculture Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA); Alepo, Syria. PP., 63-101.
- Al-Rawi, A.A., Alkass, J.E., AL-Azzawi, W.A.R., Shujaa, T.A., Alnasrawi, A.H.H., 2002. Relation between placental cotyledons in different genetic groups of sheep and some productive traits. Iraqi J. Agr. Sci. 33(1), 153-158.

Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple range and Multiple test Biometric, 11, 16.

- Dwyer, C.M., Calvert, S.K., Farish, M., Donbavand, J., Pickup, H.E., 2005. Breed, litter and parity effects on placental weight and placentome number, and consequences for the neonatal behaviour of the lamb. Theriogenology 63, 1092–1110.
- Echternkamp, S.E., 1993. Relationship between placental development and calf birth weight in beef cattle. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 32, 1–13.
- Jawasreh, K.I., 2003. Genetic evaluation of Damascus Goats in Jordan. PhD. Dissertation University of Bagdad.
- Jawasreh, K.I.Z., Awawdeh, F.T., Khasawneh, A.Z., Shdaifat, B., Al-Shboul, H., Al-Hamed, B., 2009. The effect of some placental factors in birth weight of Awassi lambs. Research Journal of Agriculture & Veterinary Sciences 4, 5-8.
- Knight, T.W., Lynch, .R., all, .R.H., ockey, H.U.P., 1988. Identification of factors contributing to the improved lamb survival in Marshall Romney sheep. N. Z. J. Agric. Res. 31,9–71.
- Konyalı, A., Tolu,C., Das,G., Savas, T., 2007. Factors affecting placental traits and relationships of placental traits with neonatal behavior in goat. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 97, 394–401.
- Madibela, O.R., 2004. Placental mass of grazing Tswana goats kidding at two different periods during the dry season. J. Biol. Sci. 4, 740–743.
- Mellor, D.J., Stafford, K.J., 2004. Animal welfare implications of neonatal mortality and morbidity in farm animals. Vet. J. 168, 118–133.
- Molteni, R.A., Stys, S.J., Battaglia, F.C., 1978. Relationship of fetal and placental weight in human beings: fetal/placental weight ratios at various gestational ages and birth weight distributions. J. Reprod. Med. 21, 327–334.
- Ocak, S., Emsen, E., Koycegiz, F., Kutluca, M., Onder, H., 2009. Comparison of placental traits and their relation to litter size and parity weight in sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 87, 3196-3201.
- Ocak, S., Onder, H., 2011. Placental traits and maternal intrinsic factors affected by parity and breed in goats. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 128, 45–51.
- Oramari, R.A.S., Alkass, J.E., Hermiz, H.N., Hussein, Y.Y., 2011. Some placental factors and their relevance to varaiation in birth weight of Karadi lambs. Roavs. 1(3), 165-168.
- Osgerby, J.C., Gadd, T.S., Wathes, D.C., 2003. The effects of maternal nutrition and body condition on placental and foetal growth in the ewe. Placenta 24(2-3), 236-47.
- Rhind, S.M., Rodinson, J.J., McDonald, I., 1980. relationship among uterine and placental factors in prolific ewes and their relevance to variations in foetal weight. Anim. Prod. 30, 115-124.
- SAS, 2005. SAS/STAT 'User's Guide for Personal Computers, Release 8.00. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Wallace, J.M., Bourke, D.A., Palmer, R.M., Da Silva, P., 1999. Nutrition and the pregnant adolescent. Rowett Res. Inst. Annu. Rep. 36–39.